



An Roinn Tithíochta,
Rialtais Áitiúil agus Oidhreachta
Department of Housing,
Local Government and Heritage

Westmeath County Council

Multi Supplier Framework Agreement for the
provision of Engineering Consultancy Services for
Transport Projects with an estimated value less
than €10 million for Westmeath County Council
from 2026 - 2030

Quality Assessment Summary

August 2026

Client:

Westmeath County Council,
Transportation Department,
County Buildings, Mullingar,
Co Westmeath, N91 FH4N.

DOT Document Control Sheet

Client	Westmeath County Council
Doc Prepared By	Transportation Section, Westmeath County Council
Project Title	Cornamaddy to Coosan Link Road, Athlone
Document Title	Quality Assessment Summary
Document Location	Z:\27. Housing Activation Office\Cornamaddy to Coosan\Coosan to Cornamaddy Tender Pack

Issue	Status	Author(s)	Reviewed & Approved by	Date
01	Tender	DC	PN	27/08/2026

Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	3
1.1. <i>Award Criteria</i>	<i>4</i>
1.2. <i>Quality.....</i>	<i>4</i>
1.3. <i>Quality Criteria</i>	<i>5</i>

1.1. Award Criteria

A total of 1000 marks (100%) are available. The Quality/Price ratio is 70:30. For the avoidance of doubt, the Quality/Price ratio is set out below:

Criteria	Max No. of Marks Available	% of Total Marks Available
Quality	700	70%
Price	300	30%
Total	1000	100%

1.2. Quality

- A minimum quality threshold of 50% applies to each of the quality sub-criteria (the “Minimum Quality Threshold”).
- Only the tenders which meet each of the Minimum Quality Thresholds will be assessed.
- Applicants should refer to the Instructions to Tender for the basis of assessment for Quality Criteria and information required.
- Applicants should refer to the scoring table below for details on how marks are awarded for each of the quality criteria.

1.3. Quality Criteria

Consultants should refer to the scoring table below for details on how marks are awarded for each quality sub-criteria.

SCORING TABLE - QUALITY CRITERIA		
RATING	MARKING SCALE	SUMMARY
Outstanding	100% - 90%	Outstanding response that comprehensively addresses the Contracting Authorities requirements as outlined. Provides detailed supporting evidence and has very few or no weaknesses. Response demonstrates that the Tenderer will provide excellent service.
Excellent	89% - 80%	Overall, the response is excellent and relevant. The response is comprehensive and demonstrates a thorough understanding of the criterion and provides details on how the criterion will be satisfied to a very high standard.
Very good	79% - 70%	Overall, the response is very good and relevant. The response demonstrates a very good understanding of the criterion and provides details on how the criterion will be satisfied to a high standard.
Good	69% - 60%	Overall, the response is good and relevant. The response demonstrates a good understanding of the criterion and provides details on how the criterion will be satisfied to an adequate standard but does not provide sufficient convincing assurance to award a higher mark.
Satisfactory	59% - 50%	Overall, the response is satisfactory and partially relevant. The response demonstrates a reasonable understanding of the criterion but lacks detail on how the criterion will be satisfied.
Acceptable	(49% - 40%)	Overall, the response is acceptable and partially relevant. The response addresses the criterion in part but contains insufficient/limited detail or explanation to demonstrate how the criterion will be satisfied.
Unsatisfactory	(39% - 1%)	Overall, the tenderer has provided a minimal response with very limited detail. The answer does not provide enough confidence that the minimum requirements can be met.

No Evidence	(0%)	Response not submitted or response completely fails to address the criterion under consideration.
--------------------	------	---